COURT NO. 1 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

43.

OA 3058/2022 with MA 3946/2023 and MA 4275/2022

Ex Sub Shailendra Singh Rawat

... Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

For Applicant

Mr. Ved Prakash &

Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocates

For Respondents

Mr. Avdhesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE LT GEN P.M. HARIZ, MEMBER (A)

ORDER 05.10.2023

MA 4275/2022

Keeping in view the averments made in the miscellaneous application and finding the same to be bona fide, in the light of the decision in *Union of India and others Vs. Tarsem Singh* (2008) 8 SCC 648, the same is allowed condoning the delay in filing the O.A. MA stands disposed of.

MA 3946/2023

- 2. Counter affidavit has been filed. There being delay in filing the same, this application has been filed seeking condonation of delay. Delay is condoned. Counter affidavit is taken on record.
- 3. MA stands disposed of.

14 M

OA 3058/2022

- 4. Invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal; under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the applicant has filed this application and the reliefs claimed in Para 8 read as under:
 - A. Quash the Impugned Order No. B/JC-570369-L/016/AG/PS-4 (2nd Appeal) dated 07.03.2017 and B/40502/465/2015/AG/PS-4 (Imp-II) dated 28.03.2016.
 - B. Direct the respondents to grant disability element of pension to the applicant duly round off to 50% w.e.f his date of discharge.
 - C. Direct respondents to pay the due arrears of disability element of Pension with interest @12% p.a. from the date of retirement with all the consequential benefits.
- 5. The applicant submits that for the purpose of Primary Hypertension, the disability has been assessed @ 30% as is evident from the medical records.
- 6. Keeping in view the consistent stand taken by this Tribunal based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dharamvir Singh v. Union of India and others* (2013) 7 SCC 316 that Primary Hypertension may arise even in a peace area due to stress and strain of service, we see no reason not to allow the prayer of the applicant with regard to the disability Primary Hypertension, which has been assessed by the competent Medical Board @ 30%.
- 7. Accordingly, we allow this application holding that the applicant is entitled to disability element of pension @ 30% rounded off to 50% with effect from the date of his discharge. All other claims stand rejected.



8. The respondents are directed to grant disability element of pension to the applicant @ 30% for life which be rounded off to 50% for life from the date of retirement in terms of the judicial pronouncement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <u>Union of India Vs. Ram Avtar</u> (Civil Appeal No. 418/2012) decided on 10.12.2014. However, the arrears will be restricted to three years from the date of filing of this OA or the date of applicant's retirement/discharge, whichever is lesser, in keeping with the law laid down in the case of *Union of India and others Vs. Tarsem Singh [2008 (8)SCC 649]*.

- 9. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to calculate, sanction and issue necessary PPO to the applicant within four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, failing which, the applicant shall be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum till the date of payment.
- 10. No order as to costs.

[RAJENDRA MENON] CHAIRPERSON

> [P.M. HARIZ] MEMBER (A)

In view of the above order, learned counsel for the respondents makes an oral prayer for grant of leave to appeal for impugning the order passed in the application to the Hon'ble Supreme Court in terms of Section 31(1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.

2. After hearing learned counsel for the respondents and going through our order, there appears to be no point of law much less any point of law of general public importance involved in the matter, therefore, oral prayer for grant of leave to appeal stands declined.

[RAJENDRÀ MENON] CHAIRPERSON

> PM HARIZ MEMBER (A)

Priya